

C. N. M. V.  
Dirección General de Mercados e Inversores  
C/ Edison 4  
Madrid

### **COMUNICACIÓN DE HECHO RELEVANTE**

#### **CAIXA PENEDES 2 TDA, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Standard & Poor's.**

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica el siguiente Hecho Relevante:

I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Standard & Poor's, con fecha 28 de enero de 2016, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:

- Bono A, de **AA (sf) / en revisión para posible subida a AA (sf) / en revisión para posible bajada.**
- Bono B, de **A+ (sf) / en revisión para posible subida a A+ (sf) / en revisión para posible bajada.**
- Bono C, de **BB- (sf) a BB- (sf) / en revisión para posible bajada.**

En Madrid, a 1 de febrero de 2016

Ramón Pérez Hernández  
Director General

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Ratings In Spanish RMBS Transactions CAIXA PENEDES 1 And 2 Placed On CreditWatch Negative

**Primary Credit Analyst:**

Soledad Martinez-Tercero, Madrid (34) 91-389-6954; soledad.martinez-tercero@standardandpoors.com

**Secondary Contact:**

Isabel Plaza, Madrid (34) 91-788-7203; isabel.plaza@standardandpoors.com

### OVERVIEW

- Titulización de Activos S.G.F.T., the trustee for CAIXA PENEDES 1 and 2, has informed us that the transaction reports have incorrectly recorded the type of interest on a significant portion of the mortgages in both transactions' pools since 2013.
- Consequently, we expect a negative effect on our ratings in these transactions when performing full analyses.
- Based on the most up-to-date information that we have received and additional information that we expect to receive from the trustee, we have placed on CreditWatch negative our ratings on all classes of notes in CAIXA PENEDES 1 and 2.

MADRID (Standard & Poor's) Jan. 28, 2016--Standard & Poor's Ratings Services today placed on CreditWatch negative its credit ratings on all classes of notes in CAIXA PENEDES 1 TDA Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos and CAIXA PENEDES 2 TDA, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos (see list below).

Today's CreditWatch negative placements follow information that we have received from Titulización de Activos S.G.F.T., the trustee for CAIXA PENEDES 1 and 2, regarding incorrect reporting in the transaction reports since 2013. When the Índice de Referencia de Préstamos Hipotecarios de Cajas de Ahorro (IRPH Cajas) was withdrawn in 2013, loans could switch to either a fixed rate

of interest, Euro Interbank Offered Rate (EURIBOR), or Índice de Referencia de Préstamos Hipotecarios del Conjunto de Entidades (IRPH entidades).

In the case of CAIXA PENEDES 1 and 2's pools, most of the loans linked to the IRPH Cajas switched to paying a fixed rate of interest. However, for both transactions, the trustee has been reporting that these loans were still paying a floating rate of interest. In the case of CAIXA PENEDES 2, 35% of the total pool is affected by this misstatement of interest type. We are still waiting for the corresponding number for CAIXA PENEDES 1, but we expect it to be similar.

Both transactions benefit from swaps that were introduced into the structure to hedge the original basis risk. With significant portions of the portfolios now paying fixed interest rates and not floating rates any more, the mismatch between the assets and liabilities should now be higher than what we were previously assuming. We expect that the basis swaps in both transactions cannot mitigate the interest risk that the transactions could be currently exposed to in our high interest rate scenarios. Consequently, when performing new analyses for these transactions, we expect a negative effect on the ratings, especially in interest rate up scenarios.

Our Oct. 2, 2015 upgrade of Spain could affect the maximum achievable rating in these two transactions under our updated criteria for rating single-jurisdiction securitizations above the sovereign foreign currency rating (see "Methodology And Assumptions For Ratings Above The Sovereign--Single-Jurisdiction Structured Finance," published on May 29, 2015). We have already analyzed the potential effect of the upgrade on our ratings in CAIXA PENEDES 1 (see "Ratings Affirmed In Spanish RMBS Transaction CAIXA PENEDES 1 Following Sovereign Upgrade," published on Dec. 16, 2015). However, our ratings on CAIXA PENEDES 2's class A and B notes were still on CreditWatch positive when we were informed about the incorrect data (see "Ratings On 88 Spanish RMBS And ABS Tranches Placed On CreditWatch Positive Following Sovereign And Bank Rating Actions," published on Oct. 30, 2015).

We expect the trustee to give us further feedback on this information and to correct the previous information soon. With this corrected information, we will review the transactions and solve the CreditWatch placements.

#### RELATED CRITERIA AND RESEARCH

##### Related Criteria

- Methodology And Assumptions For Ratings Above The Sovereign--Single-Jurisdiction Structured Finance, May 29, 2015
- Post-Default Ratings Methodology: When Does Standard & Poor's Raise A Rating From 'D' Or 'SD'?, March 23, 2015
- Criteria For Global Structured Finance Transactions Subject To A Change In Payment Priorities Or Sale Of Collateral Upon A Nonmonetary EOD, March 2, 2015
- Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance

Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014

- Italy And Spain RMBS Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 18, 2014
- Timeliness Of Payments: Grace Periods, Guarantees, And Use Of 'D' And 'SD' Ratings, Oct. 24, 2013
- Assessing Bank Branch Creditworthiness, Oct. 14, 2013
- Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Europe Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Criteria--Structured Finance, Sept. 13, 2013
- Counterparty Risk Framework Methodology And Assumptions, June 25, 2013
- Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings, Oct. 1, 2012
- Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Understanding Standard & Poor's Rating Definitions, June 3, 2009

#### Related Research

- Ratings Affirmed In Spanish RMBS Transaction CAIXA PENEDES 1 Following Sovereign Upgrade, Dec. 16, 2015
- Ratings On 88 Spanish RMBS And ABS Tranches Placed On CreditWatch Positive Following Sovereign And Bank Rating Actions, Oct. 30, 2015
- 2015 EMEA RMBS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, Aug. 6, 2015
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2014: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, July 8, 2014
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis: Understanding The Effects Of Macroeconomic Factors On Credit Quality, July 2, 2014

#### RATINGS LIST

Class	Rating
To	From

#### Ratings Placed On CreditWatch Negative

CAIXA PENEDES 1 TDA Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos  
€1 Billion Mortgage-Backed Floating-Rate Notes

A	AA (sf)/Watch Neg	AA (sf)
B	BBB+ (sf)/Watch Neg	BBB+ (sf)
C	B (sf)/Watch Neg	B (sf)

CAIXA PENEDES 2 TDA, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos  
€750 Million Mortgage-Backed Floating-Rate Notes

*Ratings In Spanish RMBS Transactions CAIXA PENEDES 1 And 2 Placed On CreditWatch Negative*

A	AA (sf)/Watch Neg	AA (sf)/Watch Pos
B	A+ (sf)/Watch Neg	A+ (sf)/Watch Pos
C	BB- (sf)/Watch Neg	BB- (sf)

**Additional Contact:**

Structured Finance Europe; StructuredFinanceEurope@standardandpoors.com

Copyright © 2016 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).