C. N. M. V. Dirección General de Mercados e Inversores C/ Edison 4 Madrid

COMUNICACIÓN DE HECHO RELEVANTE

FTPYME TDA CAM 4, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Standard & Poor's.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica el siguiente Hecho Relevante:

I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Standard & Poor's, con fecha 20 de enero de 2020, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:

- Bono B, de BB- (sf) a AA+ (sf)
- Bono C, de CCC- (sf) a B- (sf)
- Bono D, afirmado como D (sf)

En Madrid, a 14 de febrero de 2020

Ramón Pérez Hernández Consejero Delegado



Various Rating Actions Taken On FTPYME TDA CAM 4's Spanish SME CLO Notes Following Review

January 20, 2020

Overview

- We have raised our ratings on FTPYME TDA CAM 4's class B and C notes following our performance review of the transaction.
- At the same time, we have affirmed our rating on the class D notes.
- FTPYME TDA CAM 4 is a single-jurisdiction cash flow CLO transaction backed by an amortizing portfolio of SME loans. It closed in December 2006.

LONDON (S&P Global Ratings) Jan. 20, 2020--S&P Global Ratings today raised its credit ratings on FTPYME TDA CAM 4, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos' class B and C notes. At the same time, we have affirmed our rating on the class D notes.

Credit analysis

We have applied our European small and midsize enterprise (SME) CLO criteria to determine the scenario default rates (SDRs)--the minimum level of portfolio defaults that we expect each tranche to be able to withstand at a specific rating level using CDO Evaluator (see "European SME CLO Methodology And Assumptions," published on Jan. 10, 2013).

We ranked the originator into the moderate category (see tables 1, 2, and 3 in our European SME CLO criteria). Taking into account Spain's banking industry country risk assessment (BICRA) score of 4, we have applied a downward adjustment of one notch to the 'b+' archetypical average credit quality. Due to the absence of information on the creditworthiness of the securitized portfolio compared with the originator's entire loan book, we further adjusted the average credit quality by three notches (see table 4 in our European SME CLO criteria).

As a result of these adjustments, our average credit quality assessment of the portfolio was 'ccc', which we used to generate our 'AAA' SDR of 83%.

We have calculated the 'B' SDR, based primarily on our analysis of historical SME performance data and our projections of the transaction's future performance. We have reviewed the portfolio's historical default data, and assessed market developments, macroeconomic factors, changes in country risk, and the way these factors are likely to affect the loan portfolio's creditworthiness. As a result of this analysis, our 'B' SDR is 10%.

We interpolated the SDRs for rating levels between 'B' and 'AAA' in accordance with our European

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Vanessa Cecillon

London (44) 20-7176-3581 vanessa.cecillon @spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Emanuele Tamburrano

London (44) 20-7176-3825 emanuele.tamburrano @spglobal.com

RESEARCH CONTRIBUTOR

Harshala Koyande

CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an S&P affiliate, Mumbai SME CLO criteria.

Cash flow analysis

At each liability rating level, we applied a weighted-average recovery rate (WARR) by considering observed historical recoveries. As a result of this analysis, our WARR assumptions in a 'B' scenario is 40%.

We used the portfolio balance that the servicer considered to be performing, the current weighted-average spread, and the above weighted-average recovery rates. We subjected the capital structure to various cash flow stress scenarios, incorporating different default patterns and interest rate curves, to determine the rating level, based on the available credit enhancement for each class of notes under our European SME CLO criteria.

Country risk

Under our structured finance sovereign risk criteria, the class B notes can be rated up to six notches above the unsolicited long-term rating on Spain (see "Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions," published on Jan. 30, 2019).

Since our previous review, the class A2 and A3(CA) have fully amortized. As a result, the credit enhancement for the class B notes increased to 65.9% from 40.1%. In addition, the class B notes have become less vulnerable to liquidity risk. Considering the results of our credit and cash flow analysis and the application of our sovereign risk criteria, we have raised to 'AA+ (sf)' from 'BB-(sf)' our rating on the class B notes.

Since our previous review, the credit enhancement of the class C notes has increased to 17.6% from 5.9%. In our opinion, the class C notes are no longer vulnerable to nonpayment, or dependent upon favorable business, financial, and economic conditions. Therefore, following the application of our 'CCC' ratings criteria, we have raised to 'B- (sf)' from 'CCC- (sf)' our rating on the class C notes (see "Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings," published on Oct. 1, 2012).

We have affirmed our 'D (sf)' rating on the class D notes as they continue to miss their interest payments.

FTPYME TDA CAM 4 is a single-jurisdiction cash flow CLO transaction securitizing a portfolio of SME loans that BANCO CAM S.A.U. originated in Spain. The transaction closed in December 2006.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014

Various Rating Actions Taken On FTPYME TDA CAM 4's Spanish SME CLO Notes Following Review

- General Criteria: Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- Criteria | Structured Finance | CDOs: European SME CLO Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 10, 2013
- General Criteria: Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings, Oct. 1, 2012
- Criteria Structured Finance General: Criteria Methodology Applied To Fees, Expenses, And Indemnifications, July 12, 2012
- General Criteria: Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- General Criteria: Methodology: Credit Stability Criteria, May 3, 2010
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Update: December 2019, Dec. 17, 2019
- Various Rating Actions Taken On FTPYME TDA CAM 4's Spanish SME CLO Notes Following Review, July 31, 2018
- 2017 EMEA Structured Credit Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- Presale: FTPYME TDA CAM 4 Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos, Nov. 30, 2006

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.