

Otra Información Relevante de BANKINTER 8 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS

En virtud de lo establecido en el Folleto Informativo de **BANKINTER 8 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS** (el “Fondo”) se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES la presente información relevante:

- La Agencia de Calificación **S&P Global Ratings (“S&P”)**, con fecha 17 de marzo de 2021, comunica que ha elevado las calificaciones asignadas a las siguientes Series de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:

- **Serie B: AAA (sf)** (anterior **AA (sf)**)
- **Serie C: AA (sf)** (anterior **A+ (sf)**)

Asimismo, S&P ha confirmado la calificación asignada a la restante Serie de Bonos:

- **Serie A: AAA (sf)**

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por S&P.

Madrid, 18 de marzo de 2021.

Bankinter 8 Class B And C Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision; Class A Rating Affirmed

March 17, 2021

Overview

- We have reviewed Bankinter 8 Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos following the expansion of the global RMBS criteria's scope to include Spain, among other countries.
- We have raised our ratings on the class B and C notes. At the same time, we have affirmed our rating on the class A notes.
- Bankinter 8 is a Spanish RMBS transaction that securitizes a pool of prime residential mortgage loans and was issued in March 2004.

MADRID (S&P Global Ratings) March 17, 2021--S&P Global Ratings today raised to 'AAA (sf)' and 'AA (sf)' its credit ratings on Bankinter 8 Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos' class B and C notes from 'AA (sf)' and 'A+ (sf)', respectively. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AAA (sf)' rating on the class A notes.

Upon expanding our global RMBS criteria to include Spanish transactions, we placed our ratings on the class B and C notes under criteria observation. Following our review of the transaction's performance and the application of our updated criteria, the ratings are no longer under criteria observation.

The transaction has performed well in recent years. Arrears remain low and are currently at approximately 1% of the outstanding collateral balance. At the same time, the existing credit enhancement for the class B notes is almost 13.5%, while for the class C notes it amounts to 9.4%. Cumulative defaults have only increased slightly in recent years and are currently at about 0.5% of the original pool balance.

Our weighted-average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) assumptions have decreased due to the introduction of the effective loan-to-value (ELTV) ratio, which is weighted by 80% of the original LTV (OLTV) and 20% of the indexed current LTV (CLTV). Under our previous criteria, only the OLTV was considered. While the OLTV amounts to 78.2%, the ELTV is significantly lower at 66.5%, driving the movement to a lower WAFF.

We expect the weighted-average loss severity (WALS) on the remaining collateral to be negligible given the low weighted-average indexed CLTV of 19.8%, which is supported by the pool's high seasoning.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Feliciano P Pereira, CFA

Madrid

+ 44 20 7176 7021

feliciano.pereira
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Filip Paprocki

London

filip.paprocki
@spglobal.com

Table 1

Credit Analysis Results

Rating	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)	Credit coverage (%)
AAA	8.44	2.00	0.17
AA	5.95	2.00	0.12
A	4.69	2.00	0.09
BBB	3.69	2.00	0.07
BB	2.64	2.00	0.05
B	1.90	2.00	0.04

WAFF--Weighted-average foreclosure frequency. WALS--Weighted-average loss severity.

We affirmed our 'AAA (sf)' rating on the class A notes as we believe these notes have sufficient credit enhancement to withstand our stresses at the 'AAA' rating level.

We have raised to 'AAA (sf)' from 'AA (sf)' our rating on the class B notes. Under the updated WAFF and WALS assumptions these notes are now able to withstand our 'AAA' stresses even when performing additional sensitivities to test for COVID-19 related scenarios. We assumed a longer-than-usual asset recovery period and increased WAFF and WALS numbers to account for possible deterioration in credit conditions. The notes were still able to withstand the 'AAA' level stress.

We have also raised to 'AA (sf)' from 'A+ (sf)' our rating on the class C notes. Although the class C notes could withstand stresses at a higher rating, we have limited our upgrade to two notches. This is due to the additional sensitivities we performed in relation to COVID-19 related risks. As the excess spread available in the transaction is very limited, the risk of missing interest payments on the class C notes materializes in severe scenarios.

None of the assigned ratings are capped by the application of our counterparty or sovereign risk criteria.

S&P Global Ratings believes there remains high, albeit moderating, uncertainty about the evolution of the coronavirus pandemic and its economic effects. Vaccine production is ramping up and rollouts are gathering pace around the world. Widespread immunization, which will help pave the way for a return to more normal levels of social and economic activity, looks to be achievable by most developed economies by the end of the third quarter. However, some emerging markets may only be able to achieve widespread immunization by year-end or later. We use these assumptions about vaccine timing in assessing the economic and credit implications associated with the pandemic (see our research here: www.spglobal.com/ratings). As the situation evolves, we will update our assumptions and estimates accordingly.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019

Bankinter 8 Class B And C Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision; Class A Rating Affirmed

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | RMBS: Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans, Jan. 25, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- European RMBS Outlook 2021, Jan. 25, 2021
- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, Jan. 5, 2021
- European RMBS Index Report Q3 2020, Dec. 11, 2020
- Residential Mortgage Market Outlooks Updated For 13 European Jurisdictions Following Revised Economic Forecasts, May 1, 2020
- 2017 EMEA RMBS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.