

C. N. M. V.
Dirección General de Mercados e Inversores
C/ Edison 4
Madrid

COMUNICACIÓN DE OTRA INFORMACIÓN RELEVANTE

TDA 30, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS

Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Fitch Ratings.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica la siguiente información relevante:

I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Fitch Ratings, con fecha 29 de octubre de 2020, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:

- Bono A, **AA (sf); perspectiva estable.**

En Madrid, a 5 de noviembre de 2020

Ramón Pérez Hernández
Consejero Delegado

29 Oct 2020 | Affirmation

Fitch Affirms 3 TDA Spanish RMBS Transactions

Fitch Ratings-Madrid-29 October 2020:

Fitch Ratings has affirmed three TDA Spanish RMBS transactions. The Outlook on TDA 29's class C notes has been revised to Negative from Stable. All other Outlooks are Stable. A full list of rating actions is detailed below.

TDA 30, FTA

---Serie A ES0377844008; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; AAsf; Rating Outlook Stable

TDA 29, FTA

---Class A2 ES0377931011; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A+sf; Rating Outlook Stable

---Class B ES0377931029; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BBB+sf; Rating Outlook Stable

---Class C ES0377931037; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BB-sf; Rating Outlook Negative

---Class D ES0377931045; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; CCCsf

TDA 26-Mixto, FTA - Series 2

---Class 2-A ES0377953056; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A+sf; Rating Outlook Stable

---Class 2-B ES0377953064; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A-sf; Rating Outlook Stable

---Class 2-C ES0377953072; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; CCCsf

Transaction Summary

The transactions comprise fully amortising Spanish residential mortgages originated and serviced by Banco de Sabadell, SA (BBB-/Stable/F3) and Banca March (not rated) for TDA 26 Mixto Series 2 (TDA 26-2) and TDA 29, and by Banca March only for TDA 30. Credit enhancement (CE) consists of overcollateralisation from the assets and cash reserves.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Payment Interruption Risk Constrains TDA 26-2 and 29

TDA 26-2 and TDA 29 remain exposed to payment interruption risk in the event of a servicer disruption, as the available structural mitigants (i.e. cash reserve funds that can be depleted by losses) are deemed insufficient to cover stressed senior fees, net swap payments and senior note

interest due amounts should an alternative servicer arrangement need to be implemented. As a result, Fitch continues to cap the notes' ratings at 'A+sf'. Although one of the collection account banks, Banca March, is not rated by Fitch, the rating cap of 'A+sf' acknowledges the bank's established retail franchise, the availability of bank ratings by other internationally recognised agencies, and robust banking sector supervision in Spain.

Resilient to COVID-19 Additional Stresses

In its analysis of the transactions, Fitch has applied additional stresses in conjunction with its European RMBS Rating Criteria in response to the coronavirus outbreak and the recent legislative developments in Catalonia. Fitch anticipates a generalised weakening in Spanish borrowers' ability to keep up with mortgage payments due to a spike in unemployment and vulnerable self-employed borrowers.

Performance indicators such as the level of three months plus arrears excluding defaults (standing at 0.5% and 0.9% for TDA 26-2 and TDA 29 as of August 2020 and at 1.3% for TDA 30 as of September 2020) could deteriorate in the following months and therefore Fitch has also incorporated a 10% increase to the weighted average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) of the portfolios (see: EMEA RMBS: Criteria Assumptions Updated due to Impact of the Coronavirus Pandemic and Spain RMBS: Criteria Assumptions Updated Due to Decree Law in Catalonia at www.fitchratings.com).

As outlined in "Fitch Ratings Coronavirus Scenarios: Baseline and Downside Cases", we also consider a downside coronavirus scenario for sensitivity purposes whereby a more severe and prolonged period of stress is assumed. Under this scenario, Fitch's analysis accommodates a 15% increase to the portfolio weighted average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) and a 15% decrease to the WA recovery rates. The notes could be downgraded by up to three notches in this scenario.

Fitch's analysis of TDA 26-2 is subject to the portfolio loss floor and TDA 29 analysis is subject to a performance adjustment factor floor of 100%, which reflects the repurchase of some defaulted loans in the past by the originator as per the agency's criteria.

Sufficient Credit Enhancement

The affirmations reflect our view that CE protection is sufficient to mitigate the risks associated with our base-case coronavirus scenario. For TDA 29 and TDA 30, the note amortisation switched back to sequential on the latest interest payment date due to the slight under funding of the reserve fund in the case of TDA 29 and the breach of the three months plus arrears (excluding defaults) trigger level in the case of TDA 30.

The revision of the Outlook on TDA 29's class C notes reflects their greater susceptibility to the increased risk of collateral underperformance, given their junior ranking in the revenue and principal funds allocation and their limited CE.

No Credit to TDA 30 Swap

Fitch has not given credit to the interest rate swap arrangement in TDA 30, as the ratings of the hedge provider Banco Santander SA (A-/Negative/F2) is not in line with the contractually defined applicable minimum eligibility triggers of 'A' and 'F1', and transaction parties have confirmed no restructuring or remedial actions will be implemented. The swap is a total return swap that guarantees an excess margin of 55bp. Not modelling it leaves the transaction exposed to excess spread reduction.

Low Take-up Rates on Payment Holidays

Fitch does not expect the COVID-19 emergency support measures introduced by the Spanish government for borrowers in vulnerability to negatively impact the SPV's liquidity positions, given the low take-up rate of payment holidays in the transactions, ranging between 3.2%, 4.3% and 4.4% of the outstanding portfolio balances for TDA 26-2, 29 and 30, respectively, as of September 2020 (versus the Spanish national average of around 9%). Additionally, the high portfolio seasoning of around 14-15 years and the large share of floating-rate loans with low interest rates are strong mitigating factors against macroeconomic uncertainty.

ESG Considerations - Governance

TDA 30 has an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of 5 for Transaction Parties & Operational Risk as the hedge provider is not in line with the contractually defined minimum eligibility triggers and transaction parties have confirmed no restructuring or remedial actions will be implemented, which has a negative impact on the credit profile and is highly relevant to the rating resulting in a change to the rating of one category.

TDA 26-2 and 29 have an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of 5 for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to payment interruption risk, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is highly relevant to the rating, resulting in a change to the rating.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- CE ratios increase as the transactions deleverage, able to fully compensate the credit losses and cash flow stresses commensurate with higher rating scenarios. The ratings could be upgraded by three notches if the likelihood of a downside scenario trajectory reduces.
- For the senior notes in TDA 26-2 and TDA 29, improved liquidity protection against a servicer disruption event. This because the ratings are capped at 'A+sf' because of unmitigated payment interruption risk.
- For TDA 30's class A notes' rating, an enhanced counterparty arrangement with respect to the hedging agreement in line with Fitch's Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- A longer-than-expected coronavirus crisis that deteriorates macroeconomic fundamentals and the mortgage market in Spain beyond Fitch's current base case. CE ratios cannot fully compensate the credit losses and cash flow stresses associated with the current ratings scenarios, all else being equal. To approximate this scenario, a rating sensitivity has been conducted by increasing default rates by 15% and cutting recovery expectations by 15%, which would imply downgrades of up to one category for the notes.
- For TDA 30's class A notes' rating, the transaction's liquidity position weakens due to large take ups on mortgage payment moratoriums and new defaults as a consequence of the coronavirus crisis.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Structured Finance transactions have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of seven notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of seven notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAAsf' to 'Dsf'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>.

Form ABS Due Diligence-15E was not provided to, or reviewed by, Fitch in relation to this rating action.

DATA ADEQUACY

Fitch has checked the consistency and plausibility of the information it has received about the performance of the asset pools and the transactions. Fitch has not reviewed the results of any third party assessment of the asset portfolio information or conducted a review of origination files as part of its ongoing monitoring. Fitch did not undertake a review of the information provided about the underlying asset pool ahead of the transactions' initial closing. The subsequent performance of the transaction over the years is consistent with the agency's expectations given the operating environment and Fitch is therefore satisfied that the asset pool information relied upon for its initial rating analysis was adequately reliable. Overall, Fitch's assessment of the information relied upon for the agency's rating analysis according to its applicable rating methodologies indicates that it is adequately reliable.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

TDA 26-Mixto, FTA - Series 2: Transaction & Collateral Structure: 5

TDA 29, FTA: Transaction & Collateral Structure: 5

TDA 30, FTA: Transaction Parties & Operational Risk: 5

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Contacts:

Surveillance Rating Analyst

Isabela de Benito,

Analyst

+34 91 702 5777
Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid
Paseo de la Castellana 31 9ºB
Madrid 28046

Committee Chairperson
Francois Le Roy,
Senior Director
+33 1 44 29 91 75

Media Relations: Athos Larkou, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1549, Email:
athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

[European RMBS Rating Criteria \(pub. 22 May 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)
[Fitch Ratings Interest Rate Stress Assumptions for Structured Finance and Covered Bonds \(Excel\) \(pub. 06 Dec 2019\)](#)
[Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria \(pub. 17 Jun 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)
[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria \(pub. 29 Jan 2020\)](#)
[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria: Derivative Addendum \(pub. 29 Jan 2020\)](#)
[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria \(pub. 23 Sep 2020\)](#)
[Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria \(pub. 06 Dec 2019\)](#)

Applicable Model

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Multi-Asset Cash Flow Model, v2.8.0 (1)

ResiGlobal Model: Europe, v1.6.4 (1)

Additional Disclosures

[Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form](#)

[Solicitation Status](#)

[Endorsement Status](#)

[Endorsement Policy](#)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and

other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to

be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.