

C. N. M. V.
C/ Edison 4
Madrid

COMUNICACIÓN DE OTRA INFORMACIÓN RELEVANTE

TDA CAJAMAR 2, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS

Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Standard and Poors Global Ratings.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica la siguiente información relevante:

I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Standard and Poor's Global Ratings, con fecha 26 de abril de 2021, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:

- Bono A3, subida a **AAA (sf)** desde **AA (sf)**.
- Bono B, subida a **AA+ (sf)** desde **AA- (sf)**.
- Bono C, subida a **AA (sf)** desde **A+ (sf)**.
- Bono D, subida a **A (sf)** desde **BBB+ (sf)**.

En Madrid, a 27 de abril de 2021

Ramón Pérez Hernández
Consejero Delegado

TDA Cajamar 2 Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision

April 26, 2021

Overview

- We have reviewed TDA Cajamar 2, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos following the implementation of our revised Spanish RMBS criteria.
- We have raised our ratings on the class A3, B, C, and D notes.
- TDA Cajamar 2 is a Spanish RMBS transaction that securitizes a pool of prime residential mortgage loans. It closed in May 2005.

PARIS (S&P Global Ratings) April 26, 2021--S&P Global Ratings today raised its credit ratings on TDA Cajamar 2, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos' class A3, B, C, and D notes to 'AAA (sf)', 'AA+ (sf)', 'AA (sf)', and 'A (sf)', respectively, from 'AA (sf)', 'AA- (sf)', 'A+ (sf)', and 'BBB+ (sf)'.

Today's upgrades follow the implementation of our revised criteria and assumptions for assessing pools of Spanish residential loans (see "Related Criteria"). They also reflect our full analysis of the most recent information that we have received and the transaction's current structural features.

Upon revising our Spanish RMBS criteria, we placed our ratings on the class A3, B, C, and D notes under criteria observation. Following our review of the transaction's performance and the application of our updated criteria for rating Spanish RMBS transactions, the ratings are no longer under criteria observation.

Our weighted-average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) assumptions have decreased due to the calculation of the effective loan-to-value (LTV) ratio, which is based on 80% original LTV (OLTV) and 20% current LTV (CLTV). Under our previous criteria, we used only the OLTV. Our WAFF assumptions also declined because of the transaction's decrease in arrears. Our weighted-average loss severity (WALS) assumptions have decreased, due to the lower CLTV and lower market value declines. The reduction in our WALS is partially offset by the increase in our foreclosure cost assumptions.

Table 1

Credit Analysis Results

Rating	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)	Credit coverage (%)
AAA	7.10	2.00	0.14
AA	4.86	2.00	0.10
A	3.74	2.00	0.07

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Sandra Fronteau
Paris
+ 01.44.20.6716
Sandra.Fronteau@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Arianna Maino
London
arianna.maino@spglobal.com

TDA Cajamar 2 Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision

Table 1

Credit Analysis Results (cont.)

Rating	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)	Credit coverage (%)
BBB	2.85	2.00	0.06
BB	1.92	2.00	0.04
B	1.27	2.00	0.03

WAFF--Weighted-average foreclosure frequency. WALS--Weighted-average loss severity.

Loan-level arrears have been stable over the past four years and now stand at 2.2%. Overall delinquencies remain well below our Spanish RMBS index (see "Related Research").

Cumulative defaults, defined as loans in arrears for a period equal to or greater than 12 months, represent 1.98% of the closing pool balance. The first interest deferral trigger is for the class D notes, although at 3.5% it is not at risk of being breached, and we do not expect that this level will be reached in the near term.

Our analysis also considers the transaction's sensitivity to the potential repercussions of the coronavirus outbreak. As of the pool cut-off date (November 2020), no loans are on payment holidays under the Spanish moratorium schemes.

Our operational, sovereign, and legal risk analyses remain unchanged since our previous review. Therefore, the ratings assigned are not capped by any of these criteria.

The swap counterparty is JPMorgan Chase & Co. Considering the remedial actions defined in the swap counterparty agreement, which are not in line with our current counterparty criteria, the maximum rating the notes can achieve in this transaction is 'A- (sf)', which is the issuer credit rating (ICR) on the swap counterparty, unless we delink our ratings on this transaction from the counterparty. The results of our cash flows without the benefit of the hedge are above the ICR on the swap counterparty, that is, 'A- (sf)'. Therefore, we have delinked our ratings on the notes from the swap counterparty ICR.

The transaction features a basis swap agreement that includes cap and floor clauses. However, the floor clauses were first ruled illegal by a Spanish court in 2013, and the High Court confirmed its illegality in 2016. Nonetheless, the transaction currently receives support from the originator and servicer, Cajamar Caja Rural, Sociedad Cooperativa de Crédito, that compensates the special purpose vehicle (SPV) for payments related to floors clauses made to the swap counterparty. We have taken this into account in our analysis. As part of our surveillance, we will closely monitor any new development around the swap and the floor clauses and its potential implications in the transaction.

The available credit enhancement for all classes of notes has increased since our previous review due to the notes amortizing sequentially and the nonamortizing reserve fund, which is at its target (€7,500,000) and has remained stable over the past few years.

We have raised to 'AAA (sf)', 'AA+ (sf)', 'AA (sf)', and 'A (sf)' from 'AA (sf)', 'AA- (sf)', 'A+ (sf)', and 'BBB+ (sf)' our ratings on the class A3, B, C, and D notes, respectively. Our analysis indicates that the credit enhancement available for the class A3 notes is commensurate with a 'AAA' rating. Under our cash flow analysis, the class B, C, and D notes could withstand stresses at a higher rating than the current ratings assigned. However, we have limited our upgrades based on cashflow outputs from additional sensitivities we ran, the notes' overall credit enhancement, their position in the waterfall, and the deterioration of the macroeconomic environment.

TDA Cajamar 2 Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision

S&P Global Ratings believes there remains high, albeit moderating, uncertainty about the evolution of the coronavirus pandemic and its economic effects. Vaccine production is ramping up and rollouts are gathering pace around the world. Widespread immunization, which will help pave the way for a return to more normal levels of social and economic activity, looks to be achievable by most developed economies by the end of the third quarter. However, some emerging markets may only be able to achieve widespread immunization by year-end or later. We use these assumptions about vaccine timing in assessing the economic and credit implications associated with the pandemic (see our research here: www.spglobal.com/ratings). As the situation evolves, we will update our assumptions and estimates accordingly.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | RMBS: Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans, Jan. 25, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- Spain 'A/A-1' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Remains Negative On Fiscal And Structural Challenges, March 19, 2021
- European RMBS Outlook 2021, Jan. 25, 2021
- Spanish Banks Need To Bolster Provisions, Cut Costs, And Preserve Capital In 2021, Jan. 25, 2021
- Certain Italian, Portuguese, And Spanish RMBS Ratings Placed Under Criteria Observation Due To Criteria Update, Jan. 8, 2021
- Global Criteria For Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans Updated To Include Seven European

TDA Cajamar 2 Spanish RMBS Ratings Raised Following Criteria Revision

Jurisdictions, Jan. 8, 2021

- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, Jan. 5, 2021
- European RMBS Index Report Q3 2020, Dec. 11, 2020
- Spanish RMBS Index Report Q3 2020, Dec. 11, 2020
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment: Spain, June 18, 2020
- Residential Mortgage Market Outlooks Updated For 13 European Jurisdictions Following Revised Economic Forecasts, May 1, 2020
- 2017 EMEA RMBS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.