COMUNICACIÓN DE OTRA INFORMACIÓN RELEVANTE

Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Fitch Ratings.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica la siguiente información relevante:

- I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Fitch Ratings, con fecha 29 de julio de 2021, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:
 - Bono A, upgrade; from BBB+ (sf) to A- (sf)
 - Bono B, affirmed; BBB (sf)
 - Bono C, upgrade; from BB (sf) to BB+ (sf)

En Madrid, a 30 de julio de 2021

Ramón Pérez Hernández Consejero Delegado



Fitch Upgrades 2 Tranches of Caixa Penedes 1; Affirms TDA 19-MIXTO and TDA Cajamar 2

Fitch Ratings - Madrid - 29 Jul 2021: Fitch Ratings has upgraded Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FTA's class A and C notes and affirmed the class B notes. The class A notes have been removed from Rating Watch Positive (RWP). Fitch has also affirmed TDA 19 - MIXTO, FTA and TDA Cajamar 2, FTA. A full list of rating actions is below.

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FTA					
• Class A ES03132	LT 252001	A-sf •	Upgrade		BBB+sf ◆
• Class B ES03132	LT 252019	BBBsf ©	Affirmed		BBBsf ©
• Class C ES03132	LT 252027	BB+sf ●	Upgrade		BBsf •
TDA Cajamar 2, FTA					

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
• Class A3 ES03779		AAAsf •	Affirmed		AAAsf •
• Class B ES0377	LT 965035	AAAsf •	Affirmed		AAAsf •
• Class C ES0377		AAAsf •	Affirmed		AAAsf •
• Class D ES0377		A+sf ●	Affirmed		A+sf ●
TDA 19 - MIXTO, FTA					
• Class A ES0377	LT 964004	AAAsf •	Affirmed		AAAsf •
• Class B ES0377		AAAsf ©	Affirmed		AAAsf •
• Class C	LT	AAAsf •	Affirmed		AAAsf •

ENTITY/DEBT RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR	_
ES0377964020					
• Class D LT ES0377964038	A+sf ●	Affirmed		A+sf ●	

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

Transaction Summary

The transactions comprise residential mortgages serviced by Banco Sabadell, S.A. (BBB-/Stable/F3) and Caixabank S.A (BBB+/Negative/F2) for Penedes, BBVA (BBB+/Stable/F2) and Grupo Cooperativo Cajamar (NR) for TDA 19 and Cajamar Caja Rural, Sociedad Cooperativa de Crédito (NR), for Cajamar 2.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Stable Asset Performance: The rating actions on Penedes' class A notes were mainly driven by the removal of the Covid-19-related additional stresses that had been applied since July 2020 and the legal developments in Catalonia. The upgrade of Penedes' class C notes was also driven by the positive credit enhancement (CE) trend (see "Fitch Retires Additional Stress Scenario Analysis for Spanish RMBS Linked to Catalonia Decree Law" and "Fitch Places 5 Spanish RMBS Ratings on RWP on Catalonia Decree Law Stress Scenario Retirement" at www.fitchratings.com).

The affirmations of TDA 19 - Mixto and Cajamar 2, and the rating actions taken on Penedes, reflect the transactions' stable asset performance. The share of loans in payment holiday schemes is low (ranging between 0.0% and 1.8% of the current portfolio balances (CPB) as of the latest reporting periods), as is the share of loans in arrears over 90 days (approximately 0.1% of the CPB). Fitch's outlook for Spanish residential mortgages is broadly stable following its revised macro-economic outlook for Spain (see Fitch's latest Global Economic Outlook dated June 2021).

The rating actions also reflects the removal of the additional stresses applied since July 2020 in relation to the coronavirus outbreak. Fitch considers the stresses included in its current criteria assumptions to

be sufficient to account for the remaining uncertainty of the pandemic. See "Fitch Retires EMEA RMBS Coronavirus Additional Stress Scenario Analysis, Except UK Non-Conforming" and "Fitch Places or Maintains 121 EMEA RMBS Ratings on RWP on Additional Stress Retirement" available at www.fitchratings.com.

CE Trends: The affirmations and upgrades reflect Fitch's view that the notes are sufficiently protected by CE to absorb the projected losses commensurate with prevailing rating scenarios. The transactions benefit from non-amortising reserve funds, either due to the breach of performance triggers or because they have already reached their floor. Fitch expects CE ratios to slightly increase for Penedes and Cajamar 2 due to the prevailing pro-rata amortisation. We expect pro-rata note amortisation will continue until the portfolio factor falls below 10% threshold (currently 16% and 12% for Penedes and Cajamar 2, respectively). For TDA 19, the current portfolio factor is 8.2%. The transaction amortises sequentially and CE ratios will continue to increase.

Junior Ratings Capped by Counterparty Risks: TDA 19 and Cajamar 2's class D notes' ratings are capped at the issuer account bank provider's rating (BNP Paribas Securities Services; A+/Negative/F1), as the only source of structural CE for these classes is the reserve fund held at the account bank. The Negative Outlooks on the notes reflect that on BNP Paribas Securities Services. The rating cap reflects the excessive counterparty dependency on the SPV account bank holding the cash reserves, as per Fitch's Structure Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria. The sudden loss of these amounts would imply a downgrade of 10 or more notches of the notes.

TDA 19 and Cajamar 2's class C notes are currently not affected by the excessive counterparty risk, but could be exposed subject to CE developments.

Portfolio Risky Attributes: The portfolios include loans to self-employed borrowers (in the range of 10.7% and 16.3% of current balances). We consider these loans riskier due to income volatility and they are subject to a foreclosure frequency adjustment of 70%.

The portfolios are exposed to geographic concentration risk, mainly to the regions of Catalonia (Penedes and TDA 19) and Murcia (Cajamar 2 and TDA 19). Fitch has applied a higher set of rating multiples to the base foreclosure frequency assumption to the portion of the portfolios that exceed 2.5x the population within these regions.

ESG Considerations - Governance

Penedes has an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of '4' for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to loan modifications after transaction closing that introduced interest rate risk, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is relevant to the rating in combination with other factors.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

Increased CE as the transactions deleverage, to fully compensate for the credit losses and cash flow stresses that are commensurate with higher rating scenarios, all else being equal.

For Penedes, the introduction of an interest rate hedging agreement that mitigates the open interest rate risk as liabilities pay a floating coupon rate linked to three-month Euribor, but around 31% of the underlying mortgages pay a fixed interest rate.

Cajamar 2 and TDA 19's class D notes' ratings are capped at the SPV account bank provider rating. An upgrade of the account bank rating could trigger a corresponding upgrade of these notes' ratings.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

Cajamar 2 and TDA 19's class D notes' ratings are capped at the SPV account bank provider rating. A downgrade of the account bank rating could trigger a corresponding downgrade of these notes' ratings.

Cajamar 2 and TDA 19 class C notes' ratings could be downgraded if the proportion of CE coming solely from the reserve fund that is held at the SPV account bank materially increases from current levels. In this scenario, the notes' ratings would be capped at the SPV account bank provider rating.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Structured Finance transactions have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of seven notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of seven notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAAsf' to 'Dsf'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

USE OF THIRD PARTY DUE DILIGENCE PURSUANT TO SEC RULE 17G -10

Form ABS Due Diligence-15E was not provided to, or reviewed by, Fitch in relation to this rating action.

DATA ADEQUACY

Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FTA, TDA 19 - MIXTO, FTA, TDA Cajamar 2, FTA

Fitch has checked the consistency and plausibility of the information it has received about the performance of the asset pools and the transactions. Fitch has not reviewed the results of any third

party assessment of the asset portfolio information or conducted a review of origination files as part of its ongoing monitoring.

Fitch did not undertake a review of the information provided about the underlying asset pools ahead of the transactions' [Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FTA, TDA 19 - MIXTO, FTA, TDA Cajamar 2, FTA] initial closing. The subsequent performance of the transaction[s] over the years is consistent with the agency's expectations given the operating environment and Fitch is therefore satisfied that the asset pool information relied upon for its initial rating analysis was adequately reliable.

Overall, and together with any assumptions referred to above, Fitch's assessment of the information relied upon for the agency's rating analysis according to its applicable rating methodologies indicates that it is adequately reliable.

For Caixa Penedes 1, because the loan-by-loan portfolio data sourced from the European Data Warehouse did not include information about "Occupancy Type", "Foreign National" and "Restructuring Agreement", Fitch assumed all loans were "no data" and did not apply any additional FF adjustments to such loans. Fitch views the ResiGlobal model output of this transaction to adequately capture the risky attributes of the portfolio.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

TDA 19 - Mixto and TDA Cajamar 2 class D notes' ratings are capped at the issuer account bank provider's rating (BNP Paribas Securities Services) because they are exposed to an excessive counterparty dependency risk.

ESG Considerations

Caixa Penedes has an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of '4' for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to loan modifications after transaction closing that introduced interest rate risk, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is relevant to the rating in combination with other factors.

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Paula Nafria, FRM

Senior Analyst
Surveillance Rating Analyst
+34 91 076 1985

Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid Paseo de la Castellana 31 9°B Madrid 28046

Raul Domingo Gonzalez

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +33 1 44 29 91 70

Media Contacts

Athos Larkou

London +44 20 3530 1549 athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Applicable Criteria

European RMBS Rating Criteria (pub.19 Jul 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria (pub.24 Mar 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria (pub.29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria: Derivative Addendum (pub.29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria (pub.23 Sep 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria (pub.13 Nov 2020)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Multi-Asset Cash Flow Model, v2.10.0 (1)

ResiGlobal Model: Europe, v1.7.0 (1)

Additional Disclosures

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Status

Caixa Penedes 1 TDA, FTA EU Issued, UK Endorsed

TDA 19 - MIXTO, FTA EU Issued, UK Endorsed

TDA Cajamar 2, FTA EU Issued, UK Endorsed

Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN ESMA- OR FCA-REGISTERED FITCH RATINGS COMPANY (OR BRANCH OF SUCH A COMPANY) CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to

be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.