

**Otra Información Relevante de EdT FTPYME PASTOR 3 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE
ACTIVOS**

En virtud de lo establecido en el Folleto Informativo de **EdT FTPYME PASTOR 3 FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS** (el “Fondo”) se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES la presente información relevante:

- La Agencia de Calificación **Standard & Poor’s Ratings Services (“S&P”)**, con fecha 3 de junio de 2022, comunica que ha elevado la calificación asignada a la siguiente Serie de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:

- **Serie C: AAA (sf)** (anterior, **AA (sf)**)

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por S&P.

Madrid, 6 de junio de 2022.

EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3 Class C Cash Flow CLO Rating Raised Following Review

June 3, 2022

Overview

- We have reviewed EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3's performance, using data from the March 2022 trustee report.
- Following our review, we raised to 'AAA (sf)' from 'AA (sf)' our rating on the class C notes.
- EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3 is a Spanish cash flow CLO transaction that closed in December 2005 and securitizes loans to SMEs. The collateral pool comprised both secured and unsecured loans at closing.

PARIS (S&P Global Ratings) June 3, 2022--S&P Global Ratings today raised to 'AAA (sf)' from 'AA (sf)' its credit rating on EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3, FONDO DE TITULIZACION DE ACTIVOS' class C notes.

Today's upgrade follows our assessment of the following factors:

- The transaction's performance using the available management company reports;
- Our analysis of the class C notes' current outstanding balance compared with the current performing collateral balance and the reserve account; and
- The portfolio's concentrated nature as it continues to amortize.

We considered all of these factors in accordance with our criteria for European CLOs backed by small and midsize enterprises (SMEs) and other relevant criteria (see "Related Criteria").

Rating Rationale

Since our previous review in December 2020, the transaction has continued to deleverage as the amortization of the underlying receivables has been used to repay the class C notes. As of the March 2022 trustee report the class C notes' aggregate outstanding balance was €1.6 million, representing a liability reduction of €0.7 million and improving the credit enhancement afforded to the notes.

The class C notes also benefit from credit enhancement provided by a reserve fund, which has a current outstanding balance of €4.64 million. The balance of the reserve fund has remained stable since our previous review and is available to pay interest and principal on the notes to cover

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

John Finn
Paris
+33 144206767
john.finn
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Emanuele Tamburrano
London
+ 44 20 7176 3825
emanuele.tamburrano
@spglobal.com

RESEARCH CONTRIBUTOR

Sukhmani Kohli
CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an
S&P affiliate, Mumbai

EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3 Class C Cash Flow CLO Rating Raised Following Review

defaults and delinquencies. Currently, the available cash reserve balance exceeds the class C notes' remaining balance.

Based on the improved credit enhancement and the support provided by the reserve fund, we raised to 'AAA (sf)' from 'AA (sf)' our rating on the class C notes.

Credit Risk

We have performed our credit analysis of the portfolio by considering the receivables and borrowers' features. We have determined our default and recovery rate expectations for the portfolio by applying our criteria for European SME CLOs (see "European SME CLO Methodology And Assumptions," published on Jan. 10, 2013).

We have applied our European SME CLO criteria to determine the scenario default rates (SDRs) for this transaction. The SDR is the minimum level of portfolio defaults we expect each CLO tranche to withstand and still be able to support the specific rating level using our CDO Evaluator.

We have determined the average credit quality assessment of the collateral portfolio to be 'b-', which is two notches lower than the rating on the archetypal European SME pool average credit quality of 'b+' outlined in our criteria.

We arrived at the 'b-' assessment by considering the following factors:

- The moderate originator quality (based on tables 1, 2, and 3 in our European SME CLO criteria), which considers Spain's Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) of 4 (as the country of origin for these SME loans). For this purpose we made a negative adjustment to 'b' from 'b+'.
- The securitized portfolio's credit quality compared with the originator's overall loan book, to make a portfolio selection bias if the securitized pool's credit quality is worse than the overall loan book. For the purpose of this transaction, we made an additional negative adjustment for portfolio selection bias to 'b-' from 'b'.

Nevertheless, due to the concentration of the portfolio, each borrower currently represents 1% or more of the remaining portfolio balance. On that basis and in the absence of credit estimates, we assumed each of the individual borrowers to be 'CCC-', as rating input when deriving the portfolio's 'AAA' SDR.

We have then derived the 'B' SDR based on an analysis of the originator-specific default data to reflect our forward-looking estimate of expected defaults for the portfolio. We assessed Spain's current market trends and developments, macroeconomic factors, and the way these factors are likely to affect the loan portfolio's creditworthiness to determine our 'B' SDR. Considering the transaction's performance and the default trends we have observed in past years, we have maintained the 'B' SDR assumption we made at our previous review. Lastly, we then interpolated the remaining SDRs at each rating level between 'B' and 'AAA' in accordance with our European SME CLO criteria.

Payment Structure And Cash Flow Mechanics

We ran our credit analysis results and additional transaction-specific stresses through our cash flow model, which reflects the capital structure. In our cash flow analysis, we applied our European SME CLO criteria and applied standard stresses. These stresses relate to default timing and patterns, interest rate and basis risk, recovery timing, the reinvestment rate, servicing fees and other expenses, yield compression, and commingling and liquidity stress. Due to the support

provided by the reserve fund the class C notes pass our credit and cash flow analysis at the 'AAA' rating.

Country Risk

Our long-term unsolicited rating on Spain is 'A'. Our updated criteria for rating single-jurisdiction securitizations above the sovereign require the tranches to have sufficient credit enhancement to pass stress levels to qualify to be rated above the sovereign (see "Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions," Jan. 30, 2019).

Counterparty Risk

The transaction has an interest rate swap. Cecabank S.A. (BBB+/Stable/A-2) is the swap counterparty. We have reviewed the swap counterparty's downgrade provisions under our current counterparty criteria, and, in our opinion, they do not fully comply with these criteria (see "Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions," published on March 8, 2019). Because our long-term rating on Cecabank is lower than our passing rating level on the class C notes, we did not give credit to the swap provider in our cash flow analysis. Banco Santander S.A. (A+/Stable/A-1) is the guaranteed investment contract (GIC) provider and account bank with downgrade provisions which comply with our counterparty criteria and can support a rating of 'AAA (sf)'.

Legal Risk

We consider the issuer to be a bankruptcy remote entity, in line with our legal criteria (see "Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology," published on March 29, 2017). We therefore consider the transaction's legal risk to be mitigated.

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | CDOs: Global Methodology And Assumptions For CLOs And Corporate CDOs, June 21, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In

EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3 Class C Cash Flow CLO Rating Raised Following Review

Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014

- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- Criteria | Structured Finance | CDOs: European SME CLO Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 10, 2013
- General Criteria: Global Investment Criteria For Temporary Investments In Transaction Accounts, May 31, 2012
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- EDT FTPYME PASTOR 3's Class C SME CLO Notes Rating Raised, Dec. 4, 2020
- 2017 EMEA Structured Credit Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.